

Relative Frequencies, Chemical Composition and *in vitro* Organic Matter Digestibility of Forage Consumed by Sheep in Humid Tropic of West Africa

S. Babatounde¹, M. Oumorou², I. Alkoiret³, S. Vidjannagni¹ and G. A. Mensah⁴

1. Laboratory of Animal Sciences, Faculty of the Agronomic Sciences, University of Abomey-Calavi (UAC), 01 P.O. Box 526, Cotonou, Benin

2. Laboratory of Biological Applied Researches, Polytechnic School of Abomey-Calavi, 01 P.O. Box 2009, Cotonou, Benin

3. Laboratory of Animal Production, University of Parakou, P.O. Box 123, Parakou, Benin

4. National Institute of Agriculture Researches of Benin (INRAB), 01 P.O. Box 884, Cotonou, Benin

Received: August 9, 2010 / Published: May 20, 2011.

Abstract: The experiment was conducted during the short dry and the short rainy seasons in 2008. A botanical inventory and relative frequencies of forage selected by Djallonké sheep grazing were developed by observation and hand-plucking. Chemical composition and *in vitro* organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) of hand-plucked material was determined. From July to October, woody plants are a major component of the sheep diet. Sheep selected 40 woody plants and 21 species of herbaceous. During the dry season, grazing occurred more frequently in the fallows. The most frequent woody species belonged to *Elaeis guineensis* and *Fagara zanthoxyloides*. At the rainy season, a high proportion of *Panicum maximum* and *Eleusine indica* were sampled. Crude protein was high in woody leaves at the dry season. Crude fibre were more variable in herbaceous than in woody and herbaceous were generally higher in the levels of fibre. *Chromolaena odorata*, *Citrus sinensis*, *Vitex doniana* were very high in IVOMD. *Spondias mombin*, *Moringa oleifera*, *Persea americana*, *Psidium guajava*, *Dialium guineense* presented lowest values in IVOMD. Among herbaceous, *Andropogon gayanus* and *Panicum maximum* still presented a high value of IVOMD at the dry season. High proteins suggest woody with potential as nitrogen supplements to ruminants fed low quality forage during the dry season.

Key words: Woody, herbaceous, botanical inventory, nutritive value, livestock, sheep.

1. Introduction

In Benin, livestock production represents an important method for supporting the local population, but the production of ruminant livestock has largely been supported through the grazing of available nature pastures [1]. Due to favourable climate in Benin like in other humid regions of Africa, the availability of forage is not a real constraint when ruminants are grazing pastures or through fed. Until now, a greater knowledge of the diet consumed by grazing animals on

these pastures is fundamental in order to select and insert them intentionally in the feeding strategy [2].

The *in vivo* organic matter digestibility of tropical forage is the main criterion for determination of nutritive values with the French system [3, 4]. In the Caribbean (French Guyana, French West Indies, Dominica, Cuba) and Burundi, feed tables are based on *in vivo* determination of organic matter digestibility and voluntary dry matter intake by sheep [5, 6]. However, the samples to be tested with *in vivo* studies for establishment of large feed tables are too numerous and the results are generally restricted to experimental conditions under which the measurements were made.

Corresponding author: S. Babatounde, Ph.D., research fields: animal science, nutrition. E-mail: babatoundesev@yahoo.fr.

In addition, *in vivo* digestibility studies is expensive, time consuming and laborious, requires large quantities of forage [7-9] and is largely unsuitable for forages like straw [10, 11] and browses [12, 13]. Not readily applicable to large numbers of samples, or when small quantities of forage are available. It is why these feed tables are limited to only some areas. In order to avoid these problems *in vitro* organic matter digestibility is one of the best methods currently available for estimating digestibility and nutritive quality of diet. This study aimed to identify forage consumed by sheep in south of Benin and to evaluate their *in vitro* organic matter digestibility using pepsin and cellulase technique.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Areas and Animals

The experiment was carried out on the Atlantic Department in south of Benin. This region is covered by woody or shrubby savannas, which are being replaced extensively by fields and fallows [14]. The climate is Guinean characterized by two rainy seasons and two dry seasons: (1) A long rainy season (March-July) and short rainy season (September-October) and (2) A long dry season (November-March) and short dry season (July-September).

The mean annual rainfall is 1,200 mm. Average minimum and maximum daily temperatures are 27 and 31 °C respectively [15]. The soils are ferruginous throughout the region and hydromorphic along rivers [16]. The pastures were grazed by locally bred West African Dwarf sheep that are widely reared in Benin [17]. Before the experiment started, 24 male sheep, with an average weight of 17.4 kg were treated for intestinal parasites (Vermitan) and trypanosomiasis (Berenil).

2.2 Sampling and Measurements

The experiment was conducted from July 16-October 13, 2008, i.e., over 90 days including a

14-day adaptation period. This period covered the short dry season and the short rainy season. Grazing took place from 0900-1700 h for 8 hours per day. The pastures were natural wooded and shrubby savannas during the short dry season as well as fallows during the short rainy season. Animals received water and mineral blocks salt *ad libitum* when they returned in the sheepfold. Botanical inventory and determination of the nutritive quality of the consumed forage were made from samples collected by hand-plucking method. This technique was used to obtain estimations of the quality of the ruminant's diet because it is rapid, relatively cheap and simple, particularly in heterogeneous grasslands where animals demonstrate great selectivity with respect to fibrous forage [18-20]. During each sampling day, 5 animals were chosen randomly and were observed on the pasture. Daily sampling was carried out during 30 periods of 5 minutes (6 periods per animal) distributed over the whole grazing time with 15 periods in the morning and 15 periods in the afternoon. Species in hand-plucked samples were identified for each animal and counted to give a frequency for each species at the end of the study.

After-wards the samples of each animal were bulked, dried in a laboratory oven for 48 h at 60 °C and milled (1 mm sieve, FOSS Electric A/S, Hilleroed, Denmark), before chemical analysis. Milled samples were analyzed for dry matter (DM) by drying samples at 105 °C for 24 h in forced air oven (AOAC 967.03). Ash content was measured after igniting samples in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 4 h (AOAC 923.03). Crude protein (CP) was determined by kjeldahl method [21] and crude fibre (CF) by Weende method, according to Kim et al. [22]. Samples were also investigated with enzymatic technique using pepsin (MERCK n° 7190, 1/10000) and cellulase from *Trichoderma viride* (BDH n° 39074) to determine *in vitro* organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) of the forage according to Vanderhaeghe and Biston [23] method. The statistical analysis was carried out using SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC). The frequency

distributions of the hand-plucked species during each season were analysed by chi-square test.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Botanical Composition of the Consumed Forage

The relative frequencies of species consumed in the pasture during the short dry season and the short rainy season are presented in Table 1. Animals selected 61 species from July to October (covered the short dry season, July 17-September 15 and the short rainy season, September 15-October 16). The frequencies of the consumed species were significantly different ($P < 0.01$) between the 2 seasons. From July to October, woody plants are a major component of the sheep diet. These plants are especially important for ruminant production during this period of the year when both nutritive value and quantity of herbaceous plants declines because woody species are normally deep-rooted and more likely to remain vegetative or even grow, during drought periods. The differences observed in botanical composition of the forage consumed by sheep between the short dry and the short rainy seasons can be explained by the inaccessibility of the hydromorphic zones from July to September.

At the short dry season, grazing occurred more frequently in the fallows were woody plants, predominantly Euphorbiaceae (8.2%), Moraceae (5.4%) and Mimosoideae (4.1%). During this period, hydromorphic zones were flooded and abandoned (*Brachiaria deflexa*, *Digitaria horizontalis*, *Pennisetum polystachion*, *Sorghum arundinaceum*, *Amaranthus spinosus*, *Digitaria horizontalis*, *Killinga squamulata* disappeared from the samples). The proportion of herbaceous collected on dry soils was lower than at the short rainy season (28.2 vs 46.8%), but the species were generally the same. Some perennial species like *Panicum maximum* and *Eleusine indica* still presented palatable regrowth. The most frequent woody species belonged to Arecaceae (*Elaeis guineensis*) and Rutaceae (*Fagara zanthoxyloides*). These woody species were sometimes grazed at ground level, but the majority lopped by the breeder and distributed to the sheep. A high proportion of *Elaeis guineensis* and *Fagara zanthoxyloides* were sampled by sheep. In the south of Benin, vegetation was dominated by *Elaeis guineensis* [14]. The consumption of *Fagara zanthoxyloides* leaves contributes to decrease of nematode egg output [24].

Table 1 Relative frequencies of the species consumed by sheep from July to October (covered the short dry season (July 17-September 15) and the short rainy season (September 15-October 16).

Consumed species	Family	Relative frequency (%)	
		Short dry season	Short rainy season
Woody spp			
<i>Albizia adianthifolia</i>	Mimosoideae	0.9	0.6
<i>Albizia zygia</i>	Mimosoideae	0.8	-
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	Moraceae	0.4	0.3
<i>Blighia sapida</i>	Sapindaceae	-	0.4
<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	Papilionoideae	1.0	0.5
<i>Carica papaya</i>	Caricaceae	-	0.7
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	Asteraceae	4.0	3.0
<i>Citrus sinensis</i>	Rutaceae	1.1	-
<i>Coffea arabica</i>	Rubiaceae	0.4	0.5
<i>Cola millenii</i>	Sterculiaceae	0.2	-
<i>Crescentia cujete</i>	Bignoniaceae	0.5	0.3
<i>Dialium guineense</i>	Caesalpinioideae	1.0	-
<i>Dracaena arborea</i>	Dracaenaceae	4.4	3.2
<i>Elaeis guineensis</i>	Arecaceae	6.5	4.0
<i>Euphorbia balsamifera</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0.5	0.6

(to be continued)

Consumed species	Family	Relative frequency (%)	
		Short dry season	Short rainy season
<i>Fagara zanthoxyloides</i>	Rutaceae	6.3	3.8
<i>Ficus congensis</i>	Moraceae	0.7	0.7
<i>Ficus exasperata</i>	Moraceae	0.9	0.4
<i>Ficus umbellata</i>	Moraceae	3.4	3.7
<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	Papilionoideae	2.8	0.7
<i>Irvingia gabonensis</i>	Irvingiaceae	2.3	-
<i>Jatropha curcas</i>	Euphorbiaceae	1.5	2.5
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	Mimosoideae	1.6	0.4
<i>Mallotus oppositifolius</i>	Euphorbiaceae	1.3	0.9
<i>Mangifera indica</i>	Anacardiaceae	1.8	1.8
<i>Manihot glaziovii</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0.8	0.5
<i>Margaritaria discoidea</i>	Euphorbiaceae	4.1	2.9
<i>Morinda lucida</i>	Rubiaceae	1.1	0.7
<i>Moringa oleifera</i>	Myristicaceae	4.4	2.8
<i>Musa spp</i>	Musaceae	2.7	3.5
<i>Newbouldia laevis</i>	Bignoniaceae	2.9	2.3
<i>Persea americana</i>	Lauraceae	0.7	-
<i>Pithecellobium dulce</i>	Mimosoideae	0.8	0.4
<i>Pouteria alnifolia</i>	Sapotaceae	4.1	2.9
<i>Psidium guajava</i>	Myrtaceae	0.7	0.9
<i>Sarcocephalus latifolius</i>	Rubiaceae	0.4	-
<i>Spondias mombin</i>	Anacardiaceae	0.8	2.8
<i>Terminalia catappa</i>	Combretaceae	0.4	0.5
<i>Triumfetta cordifolia</i>	Tiliaceae	3.6	3.3
<i>Vitex doniana</i>	Verbenaceae	-	0.7
Total		71.8	53.2
Herbaceous			
<i>Aeschynomene indica</i>	Papilionoideae	0.4	-
<i>Andropogon gayanus</i>	Poaceae	1.1	1.5
<i>Andropogon tectorum</i>	Poaceae	1.9	2.1
<i>Amaranthus spinosus</i>	Amaranthaceae	-	3.8
<i>Brachiaria deflexa</i>	Poaceae	-	2.5
<i>Centrosema pubescens</i>	Papilionoideae	0.3	2.8
<i>Chamaecrista mimosoides</i>	Caesalpinioideae	0.5	-
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Poaceae	1.4	2.1
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	Cyperaceae	-	1.2
<i>Dactyloctenium aegyptiaca</i>	Poaceae	3.9	3.0
<i>Digitaria horizontalis</i>	Poaceae	-	1.8
<i>Eleusine indica</i>	Poaceae	5.5	4.7
<i>Kyllinga squamulata</i>	Cyperaceae	-	1.2
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	Poaceae	5.5	6.6
<i>Pennisetum polystachion</i>	Poaceae	-	1.0
<i>Peperomia pellucida</i>	Piperaceae	1.2	1.1
<i>Rottboellia cochinchinensis</i>	Poaceae	1.2	2.0
<i>Setaria barbata</i>	Poaceae	0.6	-
<i>Sorghum arundinaceum</i>	Poaceae	-	1.2
<i>Sporobolus pyramidalis</i>	Poaceae	3.5	4.3
<i>Sytlosanthes hamata</i>	Papilionoideae	1.2	4.4
Total		28.2	46.8

At the beginning of the short rainy season, the woody consumption frequency decreased from 71.8 to

53.2%. At this time of the year, the consumption of herbaceous species increased from 28.2 to 46.8 but

grazing was still concentrated in the fallows. A high proportion of *Panicum maximum* and *Eleusine indica* were sampled. In the fact, these species were the plants to present a better regrowth at the short rainy season.

3.2 Chemical Composition and *In Vitro* Organic Matter Digestibility of the Consumed Forage

The chemical composition and *in vitro* organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) of the hand-plucked samples during the short dry season is presented in Table 2. As in south Benin and in other humid regions of Africa, the availability of forage is not a real constraint in the pasture but the nutritive value of forage decreased widely at the dry season [25]. The measurements of chemical composition and *in vitro* organic matter digestibility during the dry season could help to know how to supplement the poor quality of the forage in this period of the year. These measurements could also help to increase the productivity of small ruminant breeds and thereby smallholder's incomes.

The crude protein (CP) content of woody ranged from 8.9% (*Pouteria alnifolia*) to 30.1% (*Pithecellobium dulce*) and 7.4 (*Eleusine indica*) to 27.2% (*Chamaecrista mimosoides*) for herbaceous. Except *Pouteria alnifolia*, *Draceana arborea* and *Ficus exasperate*, CP was high in woody leaves. From July 17-September 15 (covered the short dry season). Crude fibre (CF) were more variable in herbaceous than in woody (35% vs 28%) and herbaceous were generally higher in the levels of CF. The CP of herbaceous were negatively correlated with the CF ($r = -0.63$, $P < 0.01$). Chemical characteristics of the two types of forage were not similar. The protein content of woody plants is high compared with that of grasses. For woody species, correlations were not found among chemical composition while CP of herbaceous were negatively corrected with the CF. On one hand, differences in CP contents between these woody species are probably due in first to differences in stage of growth and type (i.e., twigs, leaves or soft stem) of foliage sampled. On the other hand, differences could

be due to differences in protein accumulation in them during growth. Differences could also be due to sampling site and climatic influences on foliage growth and plant nutrient accumulation or soil and management conditions [26, 27]. The change in the grazing areas during time shows the complementarity of the land-units (wooded and shrubby savannas, hydromorphic zones, fallows) and leads to a better distribution of the stocking rate during the rainy season. This change in grazing areas was also reported by Djenontin et al. [28] in North Benin, where fallows are frequented by herds from June to October, while natural savannas are essentially grazed from January to May. This has important implications for the sustainability of the ecosystem.

Mean IVOMD of woody were 55.6% (range 26%-83%). Some woody leaves (*Chromolaena odorata*, *Citrus sinensis*, *Vitex doniana*) were high in IVOMD (> 80%). On the contrary the lowest values were recorded in *Spondias mombin*, *Moringa oleifera*, *Persea americana*, *Psidium guajava*, *Dialium guineense* (26%-35%). Herbaceous IVOMD mean were 55% (range 24%-82%). Among these species *Andropogon gayanus* and *Panicum maximum* still presented a high value of IVOMD at the short dry season. High CP contents were observed in *Albizia adanthyfolia*, *Albizia zygia*, *Cajanus cajan*, and *Moringa oleifera* (24.6%, 22.9%, 23.1% and 24.3% respectively) however unexpected *in vitro* organic matter digestibility were noticed (51%, 43%, 53% and 29% IVOMD respectively). Several authors [29-32] reported high phenolic and tannin levels in some African woody. These anti-nutritive factors affect the nutritive value. For this reason, depending on the species, tree woody foliage may be of lower nutritive value as sole feed than as supplement to other feeds. The significance of anti-nutritive factors becomes more evident when woody foliage is the only feed consumed. Tannins found in some woody form complexes with plant proteins which decrease their rate of digestion in the rumen, thereby decreasing rumen ammonia

concentrations and increasing the amount of plant protein bypassing the rumen. Norton [29] indicated that microbial population in the rumen requires a minimum level of ammonia (70 mg N/L) to support optimum activity; lower values are associated with decreased microbial activity (i.e. digestion) and are indicative of nitrogen deficiency. Feeds containing less than 1.3% N (8% CP) are considered deficient as they cannot provide the minimum ammonia levels required. Except for *Eleusine indica* and *Kyllinga squamulata*, all woody and herbaceous plants samples at this period of the season have CP contents generally higher than this value, and may be judged adequate in protein.

In the study, botanical inventory and determination of the nutritive quality of the consumed forage were made from samples collected by hand-plucking method.

This method introduces bias in digestibility estimation because hand-plucked herbage may not be representative of the herbage grazed because of the diet selection by grazing sheep [33]. Oesophageal fistula samples have then been use to overcome the inaccuracy of hand-plucking samples [18]. However, these methods require the surgical alteration of experimental animals [4], which is impractical in production situations and can be undesirable from an animal welfare point of view. In addition, these methods is not easily implemented with growing West African Dwarf sheep, because of the size of the sheep oesophagus and behavioural characteristics of the sheep, plus the size and fibrosity of the studied forages. Therefore, hand-plucking method seemed the most appropriate way to obtain estimations of the quality of the small

Table 2 Chemical composition and *in vitro* organic matter digestibility of the hand-plucked forage during the short dry season.

Consumed species	Family	OM	CP	CF	IVOMD
Woody spp					
<i>Albizia adianthifolia</i>	Mimosoideae	95.3	24.6	14.5	51
<i>Albizia zygia</i>	Mimosoideae	93.4	22.9	19.5	43
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	Moraceae	90.1	18.1	15.1	64
<i>Blighia sapida</i>	Sapindaceae	92.5	15.4	18.2	53
<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	Papilionoideae	91.3	23.1	15.6	53
<i>Carica papaya</i>	Caricaceae	86.3	10.3	16.7	79
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	Asteraceae	95.1	21.5	23.1	81
<i>Citrus sinensis</i>	Rutaceae	91.8	13.6	20.8	82
<i>Coffea arabica</i>	Rubiaceae	91.1	17.1	22.5	43
<i>Cola millenii</i>	Sterculiaceae	90.2	11.4	19.5	40
<i>Crescentia cujete</i>	Bignoniaceae	91.1	10.1	17.8	59
<i>Dialium guineense</i>	Caesalpinioideae	92.0	18.5	21.2	32
<i>Dracaena arborea</i>	Dracaenaceae	87.9	9.4	13.1	35
<i>Elaeis guineensis</i>	Arecaceae	92.8	12.3	24.6	48
<i>Euphorbia balsamifera</i>	Euphorbiaceae	88.2	16.4	14.1	76
<i>Fagara zanthoxyloides</i>	Rutaceae	89.7	13.7	17.3	65
<i>Ficus congensis</i>	Moraceae	85.6	11.3	13.5	54
<i>Ficus exasperata</i>	Moraceae	77.8	9.3	26.8	73
<i>Ficus umbellata</i>	Moraceae	87.1	12.5	15.4	62
<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	Papilionoideae	92.5	23.8	6.9	56
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	Mimosoideae	91.3	26.2	8.5	72
<i>Irvingia gabonensis</i>	Irvingiaceae	88.1	14.4	17.7	56
<i>Jatropha curcas</i>	Euphorbiaceae	86.1	13.5	15.3	63
<i>Mallotus oppositifolius</i>	Euphorbiaceae	91.3	13.2	16.4	69
<i>Mangifera indica</i>	Anacardiaceae	92.2	16.3	27.4	39
<i>Manihot glaziovii</i>	Euphorbiaceae	91.8	11.4	15.8	46

(to be continued)

Consumed species	Family	OM	CP	CF	IVOMD
Woody spp					
<i>Margaritaria discoidea</i>	Euphorbiaceae	91.3	12.8	17.5	76
<i>Morinda lucida</i>	Rubiaceae	87.5	11.7	21.9	78
<i>Moringa oleifera</i>	Myristicaceae	90.9	24.3	16.4	29
<i>Musa</i> spp	Musaceae	88.6	15.3	26.0	39
<i>Newbouldia laevis</i>	Bignoniaceae	91.7	14.8	22.4	54
<i>Persea americana</i>	Lauraceae	93.1	11.6	27.8	31
<i>Pithecellobium dulce</i>	Mimosoideae	92.0	30.1	28.3	73
<i>Pouteria alnifolia</i>	Sapotaceae	91.3	8.9	25.8	42
<i>Psidium guajava</i>	Myrtaceae	93.0	16.4	24.4	32
<i>Sarcocephalus latifolus</i>	Rubiaceae	91.3	12.6	17.5	56
<i>Spondias mombin</i>	Anacardiaceae	92.8	14.8	17.4	26
<i>Terminalia catappa</i>	Combretaceae	89.5	13.9	16.2	69
<i>Triumfetta cordifolia</i>	Tiliaceae	91.3	14.4	15.9	42
<i>Vitex doniana</i>	Verbenaceae	93.8	17.4	11.9	83
Herbaceous					
<i>Aeschynomene indica</i>	Papilionoideae	92.5	23.1	24.1	73
<i>Andropogon gayanus</i>	Poaceae	93.5	13.2	26.8	73
<i>Andropogon tectorum</i>	Poaceae	90.7	12.9	36.7	82
<i>Amaranthus spinosus</i>	Amaranthaceae	82.6	11.5	13.6	45
<i>Brachiaria deflexa</i>	Poaceae	90.3	15.9	27.9	75
<i>Centrosema pubescens</i>	Papilionoideae	94.7	26.8	12.7	54
<i>Chamaecrista mimosoides</i>	Caesalpinioideae	94.1	27.2	23.7	55
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Poaceae	94.6	8.9	32.1	54
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	Cyperaceae	88.7	11.1	38.9	64
<i>Dactyloctenium aegyptiaca</i>	Poaceae	93.2	13.4	34.6	52
<i>Digitaria horizontalis</i>	Poaceae	92.4	12.5	33.8	62
<i>Eleusine indica</i>	Poaceae	93.3	7.4	35.2	49
<i>Kyllinga squamulata</i>	Cyperaceae	85.8	7.9	36.4	58
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	Poaceae	87.6	14.8	30.1	79
<i>Pennisetum polystachion</i>	Poaceae	89.7	10.2	31.5	68
<i>Peperomia pellucida</i>	Piperaceae	86.1	15.3	16.9	61
<i>Rottboellia cochinchinensis</i>	Poaceae	89.2	8.8	29.2	62
<i>Setaria barbata</i>	Poaceae	81.5	9.3	42.8	24
<i>Sorghum arundinaceum</i>	Poaceae	92.2	15.2	28.5	73
<i>Sporobolus pyramidalis</i>	Poaceae	91.8	12.2	34.3	45
<i>Sytlosanthes hamata</i>	Papilionoideae	89.5	19.4	15.1	75

OM: organic matter ; CP: crude protein; CF: crude fibre; IVOMD: *in vitro* organic matter digestibility

ruminant diet under the conditions of our study. This method gave a better estimate of material consumed by the sheep than analysis of whole plant samples.

4. Conclusion

Relative frequency and the nutritive quality of forage consumed by sheep were evaluated in south

Benin from July to October (covered the short dry season and the short rainy season). The study showed that in the tropical humid climate of south Benin forages used in ruminant production systems are extremely variable in terms of their species, chemical composition and *in vitro* organic matter digestibility. High CP, and low CF levels, suggests woody with

potential as nitrogen supplements to ruminants fed with low quality forage during the dry season in south Benin. However several species (*Albizia adanathifolia*, *Albizia zygia*, *Cajanus cajan*, *Moringa oleifera*) *in vitro* organic matter digestibility registered was low. It means that the utilisation of these species in feeding strategy may be limited. It is also clear that the interpretation of the nutritional value of protein in these species required information on the nature and actions of tannins.

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the support of Laboratory of Animal Sciences in Faculty of Agronomic Sciences (Belgium).

References

- [1] DE (Livestock Office), Development of Animal Production for the Next Five Year (2007-2012) in Benin, Technical Report of Livestock Office, Cotonou, 2007, p. 159. (in French)
- [2] S. Babatounde, H. Sidi, M. Houinato, G.A. Mensah, A.B. Sinsin, Eating behaviour of Borgou cattle in sudanese fallow field of Benin, Renc.Rech. Ruminants 16 (2009) 29-32. (in French)
- [3] S. Babatounde, Study and Prediction of the Nutritive Value of Forage Grasses and Legumes in the Humid Tropical Zone of Benin, Ph.D. thesis, Gembloux, Faculté universitaire des Sciences agronomiques, 2005, p. 264. (in French)
- [4] A. Fanchone, H. Archimède, M. Boval, Comparison of fecal crud protein and fecal near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy to predict digestibility of fresh grass consumed by sheep, Journal of Animal Science 87 (2009) 236-243.
- [5] A. Xandé, R. Garcia-Trujillon, O. Caceres, Feed of the humid tropics (West Indies), in: R. Jarrige (Ed.), Ruminant Nutrition: Recommended Allowances and Feed Tables, 1989, pp. 347-363.
- [6] P. Pozy, D. Dehareng, Composition and nutritive value of feeds for animals in Burundi, AGCD, Bruxelles, Publi. Agri. 37 (1996) 54. (in French)
- [7] G. Getachew, M. Blümmel, H.P.S. Makkar, K. Becker, *In vitro* gas measuring techniques for assessment of nutritional quality of feeds: a review, Animal Feed Science and Technology 72 (1998) 261-281.
- [8] S.W. Coleman, S.P. Hart, T. Sahlu, Relationships among forage chemistry, rumination and retention time with intake and digestibility of hay by goats, Small Ruminant Research 50 (2003) 129-140.
- [9] H.C. Norman, D.K. Revell, D.E. Mayberry, A.J. Rintoul, M.G. Wilmot, D.G. Masters, Comparison of *in vivo* organic matter digestion of native Australian shrubs by sheep to *in vitro* and *in sacco* predictions, Small Ruminant Research 2010 (in press).
- [10] B. Mc Cammon-Feldman, U.S. Garrigus, P.J. Van Soest, Difference in digestive response to grass and brows species by goats, Journal of Animal Science 51 (1980) 242.
- [11] O. Hjelford, F. Sundstol, H. Haagenrund, The nutritional value of browse to moose, Journal Wildl. Manage 43 (1982) 333-343.
- [12] T.N. Barry, The role of condensed tannin in the nutritional value of *Lotus pedunculatus* for sheep: rates of body and wool growth, British Journal of Nutrition 54 (1985) 211-217.
- [13] M. Meuret, Increase in value of woody intake by milk goats grazing pasture, Ph.D. thesis, Gembloux, FUSAGx, 1989, p. 221. (in French)
- [14] J.P. Essou, Study on pasture and agro pasture system in south Benin, Ph.D. thesis, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Cotonou, 1991, p. 369. (in French)
- [15] INSAE, Ann. Insti Nat. Stat. Ana Eco, Cotonou, 2007, pp. 23-35.
- [16] A. Azontondé, Study on Kpinnou Soil, DRA/MDRAC, Benin, 1991, p. 55. (in French)
- [17] C. Médénou, M. Soulé, Technical Information on Livestock in Benin Republic N° 411, 1988, Cotonou, Benin, p. 78. (in French)
- [18] Y.L.P. Le Du, P.D. Penning, Animal-based techniques for estimating herbage intake, in: J.D. Leaver (Ed.), Herbage Intake Hand-book, British Grassland Society, Hurley, UK, 1982, p. 37.
- [19] B. Michiels, S. Babatoundé, F. Lihounhinto, S.L.W. Chabi, A. Budlgen, Effect of season and concentrate feeding on the eating behaviour of sheep grazing a mixed pasture of *Panicum maximum* var. C1 and *Brachiaria ruziziensis*, Tropical Grasslands 34 (2000) 48-55.
- [20] S. Babatounde, S.S. Toleba, C.C. Adandedjan, M. Dahouda, H. Sidi, A. Buldgen, Grazing behaviour of Djallonke sheep and possibilities of fattening on mixture cultivated fodder, Ann. Sci. Agr. Benin 10 (2008) 31-49. (in French)
- [21] AOAC (Official Methods of Analysis), Vol. 2, 14th ed., Association of Official Analytical Chemists: INC, VA, USA, 1990, p. 1298.
- [22] J.T. Kim, J.T., Gilligham, C.B. Loadholt, Difference in composition between crude fibre and acid detergent fibre, Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists 50 (1967) 340-343.

- [23] S. Vanderhaeghe, R. Biston, Estimate *in vitro* digestibility of herbage: adaptation of pepsin-cellulase method on enzymatic Fibertec system, Bull. Rech. Agro. de Gembloux 22 (1987) 209. (in French)
- [24] M.S. Hounzangbe-Adoté, F.E. Zinsou, V. Hounpke, K. Moutairou, H. Hoste, *In vivo* effects of fagara leaves on sheep infected with gastrointestinal nematodes, Tropical Animal Health and Production 37 (2005) 205-214.
- [25] B. Sinsin, Phytosociology, ecology, pastoral value, production and carrying capacity in the district of Nikki-Kalalé in Northern Benin, Ph.D. thesis, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium, 1993, p. 390. (in French)
- [26] J.R. Wilson, Forage intake from tropical pasture/chemical composition and anatomical traits, in: J.A. Gomide (Ed.), Simposio internacional sobre Producao Animal em Pastejo, UFV (Viçosa, Brazil), 1997, pp. 173-208,
- [27] C. Assoumaya, D. Sauvant, H. Archimède, Comparative study on intake and digestibility of tropical and temperate forage, INRA Productions Animales 20 (2007) 383-392. (in French)
- [28] A.J.P. Djenontin, M. Houinato, B. Toutain, B. Sinsin, Practices and strategies of herders facing a reduction in forage availability in Northeastern Benin, Sécheresse 20 (2009) 346-353. (in French)
- [29] B.W. Norton, The nutritive value of tree legumes, in: R.C. Gutteridge (Ed.), Forage Tree Legumes in Tropical Agriculture, CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 1994, pp. 177-191.
- [30] S.A. Abdulrazak, T. Fujihara, J.K. Ondiek, E.R. Orskov, Nutritive evaluation of some Acacia tree leaves from Kenya, Animal Feed Science Technology 85 (2000) 89-98.
- [31] A.Z.M Salem, M. Z. M Salem, M. M. El-Adawy, Nutritive evaluation of some browses tree foliages during the dry season: Secondary compounds, feed intake and *in vivo* digestibility in sheep and goats, Animal Feed Science Technology 127 (2006) 251-267.
- [32] R. Baumont, M. Prache, P. Meuret, P. Morand-Fehr, How forage characteristic influence behaviour and intake in small ruminants: a review, Livestock Production Science 64 (2000) 15-28.
- [33] M.S. Hounzangbe-Adoté, F.E. Zinsou, K.J. Affognon, B. Koutinhouin, M.V. Adamou-N'Diaye, K. Moutairou, Anti parasitical efficacy of Carica papaya seeds powder on sheep Djallonke gastro-intestinal nematodes in south Benin, Revue d'Élevage et de Médecine Vétérinaire des pays Tropicaux 54 (2001) 225-229. (in French)